

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,201	11/20/2006	Abass Razavi	F-877 (31223.00107)	9248
25264 127102968 FINA TECHNOLOGY INC PO BOX 674412 HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412			EXAMINER	
			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
HOUSTON, I	X //26/-4412		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564,201 RAZAVI, ABASS Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Roberto Rábago 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 0208. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-23.25.26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-17,19-23,25,26 and 28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/564,201

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

 Claims 11-17, 19, 21-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Debras et al. (EP 989140) for the reasons set forth in item 4 of the Office action mailed 4/3/2008, as supplemented below.

An alternative rejection under 35 USC 103 is added herein in view of the amendment to claim 11 that each of the two reactors be a tank reactor. The cited reference example does not state whether the reactors used are tank reactors; however, the method is clearly a slurry process. Accordingly, either tank reactors were used, or official notice is taken that ordinary skilled worker would know to select a tank reactor, because such use amounts to a selection from essentially only two conventional reactor configurations for obtaining polyolefin from a supported metallocene catalyst in a slurry medium: a tank reactor or a loop reactor, each of which would necessarily be used in a "continuously stirred" condition. In the alternative, if applicants can show that the cited example would not reasonably have used a tank reactor and that the ordinary skilled worker would not immediately know to select from such a tiny group of conventional reactors, then selection of a tank reactor would be obvious because such reactors are conventional and applicants have provided no evidence of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 1796

 Claims 20 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Debras et al. (EP 989140) for the reasons set forth in item 6 of the Office action mailed 4/3/2008.

Response to Amendment/Argument

3. Claim 1 has been amended to recite a first polymerization comprising olefin and hydrogen, and a second polymerization comprising olefin and olefin comonomer. The reference example includes ethylene and hydrogen in the first stage, and ethylene and hexene in the second stage. The transitional phrase "comprising" renders all process stages open to additional unspecified components.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/4/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the amended claims differ from the reference in that "the co-reactants are not mixed in the reactors." However, there is nothing in the claims which precludes hydrogen and comonomer together in the same polymerization stage, and therefore applicant's argument is not accepted. Applicant's further discussion of hydrogen bleed is no longer relevant because the language specifying a "first" and "second" co-reactant has been deleted from the claims.

4. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Application/Control Number: 10/564,201

Art Unit: 1796

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roberto Rábago/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

RR December 5, 2008